

# A Lagrangian model for laser-induced fluorescence measurements of plasma ion temperature and electrostatic waves

F. Chu<sup>1, a)</sup> and F. Skiff<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA*

(Dated: 4 April 2017)

Extensive information can be obtained on wave-particle interactions and wave fields by direct measurement of perturbed ion distribution functions using laser-induced fluorescence (LIF). For practical purposes, LIF is normally performed on metastable states. If laser intensity is increased to obtain a better LIF signal, then optical pumping produces systematic effects depending on the collision rates which control metastable population and lifetime. We numerically simulate the ion velocity distribution measurement and wave-detection process using a Lagrangian model for the LIF signal. This approach separates the classical dynamics of the ion orbits from the quantum-state transitions produced by optical pumping. The results show that optical pumping broadening affects the ion velocity distribution function (IVDF)  $f_0$  and its first-order perturbation  $f_1$  when laser intensity is increased above a certain level. The results also suggest that ion temperature measurements are only accurate when the metastable ions can live longer than the collision mean free time. For the purposes of wave detection, it is important to choose a wave period that is significantly shorter than the lifetime of metastable ions for a correct result.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Plasmas have many degrees of freedom. In collisionless plasma, for example, one has the continuum of Case-Van Kampen modes<sup>1,2</sup>. These degrees of freedom are difficult to observe and analyze through low moments of the ion velocity distribution function (IVDF) such as density and flow velocity. Thus it is important to have an accurate measurement of the IVDF itself and its perturbation to understand plasma response<sup>3,4</sup>. A reliable phase-space diagnostic is also required in the study of ion heating, velocity-space diffusion and related phenomena in gas discharge, fusion, and other plasmas<sup>5-7</sup>.

Laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) is a nonintrusive, nominally nonperturbative diagnostic technique that has found application in the study of a wide range of fundamental and applied problems. Though it is possible that laser fields can be used to heat or cool plasma ions<sup>8</sup>, in the case where the effect of photon momentum on ion orbits is negligible, LIF provides an important window into the dynamics of ion motions in phase space through changing the ion electronic states. Because optical pumping plays a key roll in LIF and it is greatly dependent on plasma parameters and laser intensity, failure to consider these quantities may result in unreliable measurements or systematic errors<sup>9-11</sup>. It is necessary to develop a nuanced model of LIF in order to interpret how fluorescence signals are affected by the aforementioned factors.

Laser-induced fluorescence is normally analyzed through an Eulerian approach, where the rate equations are extended to a system of coupled kinetic equations, with one for each ionic quantum state. This model has been used to study optical tagging<sup>12</sup>, velocity-space

diffusion<sup>7</sup>, optical pumping broadening<sup>9</sup>, and many other problems<sup>13</sup>. This traditional Eulerian model determines the density distribution and the velocity or energy distribution of plasma ions, but it also has limitations. The solutions of the coupled kinetic equations can be extremely hard to find for some given initial conditions. The Eulerian picture is localized, making treatment of nonlocal effects like electronic waves difficult. Moreover, this model only works well in the regime of weak optical pumping or in the regime of short time scales where it is not necessary to consider the time-dependent process of optical pumping on each ion orbit.

To cope with these problems, an interpretation of LIF based on a Lagrangian approach is introduced. In this picture, one must follow an individual ion orbit as it moves through space and time. This approach separates the classical dynamics of the ions from the quantum mechanics of the electronic states, which allows correction for the optical pumping process on different ion orbits in the nonlinear regime of LIF (the induced transition rate should still be much smaller than the spontaneous decay rate). This separation also provides a large computational advantage, as it reduces the system of coupled partial differential equations (PDEs) to ordinary differential equations (ODEs). In many cases, the phase space integrals can be computed analytically by reducing to functions that can be rapidly evaluated using continued fraction<sup>14</sup>. Some early work has been done based on using the optical pumping produced by a single laser beam through this approach<sup>15</sup>. This paper presents a general Lagrangian model by introducing a conditional probability function  $P(x, v, t; x', v', t')$ . Since this model does not impose constraints on the test particle orbits, it is easily applied to systems with complicated ion dynamics such as propagation of electrostatic waves.

This paper is organized as follows: Sec. II presents a typical LIF scheme and rate equations, Sec. III gives a

<sup>a)</sup>electronic mail: [feng-chu@uiowa.edu](mailto:feng-chu@uiowa.edu)




FIG. 1. Energy level diagram for LIF in ArII. This scheme is commonly used in LIF, because it provides an adequate LIF signal in a variety of plasmas, the wavelength for the excitation is accessible at high power in single mode in dye lasers and many photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) have a better signal-to-noise ratio between 400 and 500 nm<sup>11</sup>.

description of the nonlinear conditional probability function and derivation of the Lagrangian model for LIF, Sec. IV presents the results of the simulation and Sec. V provides a summary.

## II. LIF SCHEME AND RATE EQUATIONS

In order to perform LIF, an electron level transition in ions is stimulated, and the resultant emission of photons due to the decay is detected. Because ionic transitions tend to be narrow compared to Doppler broadening, the use of a single-frequency laser easily allows for Doppler selection of the ion motion along the direction of the laser beam to the level of 100 m/s nominally.

Laser-induced fluorescence is typically described using a three-level system. A commonly used energy level diagram for ArII<sup>16</sup> is shown in Fig. 1. To induce fluorescence, in the rest frame of an ion, a laser is tuned at 611.492 nm to excite electrons in the 3d<sup>2</sup>G<sub>9/2</sub> metastable state to the 4p<sup>2</sup>F<sub>7/2</sub> state. Fluorescence photons are emitted at 460.957 nm when those electrons decay to the 4s<sup>2</sup>D<sub>5/2</sub> state. The rate equations that govern this three-level system are:

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = -(w + r + u)n_1 + A_{21}n_2, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{dn_2}{dt} = -A_T n_2 + (w + u)n_1, \quad (1b)$$

$$\frac{dn_3}{dt} = A_{23}n_2, \quad (1c)$$

where  $r$  is the metastable state quench rate,  $u$  is the collisional excitation rate,  $A_{ij}$  is the Einstein coefficient of spontaneous emission,  $A_T = A_{21} + A_{23}$  is the total spontaneous decay rate of the excited states, and  $w$  is the optical pumping rate. The distribution function of level 1 and 2 is denoted by  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , while  $n_3$  is only the distribution function corresponding to the spontaneous

decay from level 2 to level 3. The stimulated emission can be ignored here because even in the strong optical pumping regime its rate is smaller than the spontaneous decay rates.

## III. 1-D LAGRANGIAN MODEL OF LIF

Optical pumping is a time-dependent process which typically depends on the ion orbit. The Lagrangian approach achieves large computational advantages by exploiting the separation. First one models the ion orbit, then optical pumping, which is a function on the orbit. The total probability distribution of finding a metastable ion at its final position  $(x, v)$  in phase space at time  $t$  after it started at the initial position  $(x', v')$  at time  $t'$  can be expressed as

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') = P(\dots) \cdot \Psi(P, \dots), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x, v)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}' = (x', v')$ ,  $P$  is the conditional probability function that describes the metastable ion orbit, and  $\Psi$  represents the quantum state probability. Note  $\Psi$  is dependent on  $P$  – this is why the decoupling is only partial. These two functions will be derived in the following subsections.

### A. Conditional Probability Function

The diffusion of particles in velocity space is a fundamental phenomena in the plasma<sup>17,18</sup>. It is especially important in LIF measurements with a single-frequency laser even at low collision frequency. Without velocity-space diffusion, the Doppler selected metastable ions can be rapidly depleted by the laser beam and the LIF signal is correspondingly reduced. To model this velocity-space diffusion, a conditional probability function  $P$  is introduced. It specifies the probability of finding a metastable ion at the phase-space point  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  $t$ , given that the particle was at point  $\mathbf{x}'$  at time  $t'$ . This function is the Green's function of the kinetic equation for the ions<sup>19,20</sup>:

$$P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') = p(t - t') \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{q} (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^T \right] \quad (3)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{v})$  is the ion orbit in the absence of velocity-space diffusion starting at  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \mathbf{x}'$  (see Fig. 3). For simplicity, the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  and magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  are dropped from the kinetic equation. Instead, their contributions are included in the ion orbit  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  to the first approximation. The matrix  $\mathbf{q}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\nu^3(1+e^{\nu t})}{2\eta[e^{\nu t}(\nu t-2)+\nu t+2]} & \frac{\nu^2(1-e^{\nu t})}{2\eta[e^{\nu t}(\nu t-2)+\nu t+2]} \\ \frac{\nu^2(1-e^{\nu t})}{2\eta[e^{\nu t}(\nu t-2)+\nu t+2]} & \frac{\nu[e^{2\nu t}(2\nu t-3)+4e^{\nu t}-1]}{2(e^{\nu t}-1)\eta[e^{\nu t}(\nu t-2)+\nu t+2]} \end{pmatrix},$$




FIG. 2. (a)–(d) Time evolution of the conditional probability function  $P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t')$  for an ion in phase space. The ion orbit  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  is only perturbed by velocity-space diffusion. The thermal velocity of the ions is denoted by  $v_t$ . The initial conditions used in Eq. (3) are  $x' = 0$  and  $v' = 0$ . (e) Function  $P$  is a 2-D Gaussian function in phase space.

and  $p(t)$  is given by

$$p(t) = \frac{\nu^2 e^{\nu t}}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\eta} \{ (e^{\nu t} - 1) [\nu t + e^{\nu t}(\nu t - 2) + 2] \}^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\nu$  is collision rate between ions,  $\eta = \nu T_i/m_i$ ,  $T_i$  is the ion temperature in energy unit, and  $m_i$  is the ion mass.

The conditional probability function  $P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t')$  is a 2-D Gaussian function in phase space. In other words, the marginal distribution of  $P$  in either dimension,  $x$  or  $v$ , is a 1-D Gaussian function, as shown in Fig. 2(e).  $P$  is shown as it evolves in time in Figs. 2(a)–(d) where the probability of finding a test particle is color coded. Probability is higher near the center and lower near the edge.  $P$  starts as a 2-D delta function where a test particle orbit begins, and then gradually relaxes to a 2-D Gaussian function over time due to velocity-space diffusion. The elliptical shape tilts towards the  $x$  axis as it evolves since higher speeds travel further than the lower speeds in the frame of the test particle orbit  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ , which is the center of the ellipse.

## B. Optical Pumping

Optical pumping is a process in which absorption of light produces a population of energy levels different from thermal equilibrium. For metastable ions with velocity  $v$  and single-frequency laser intensity  $I$ , the optical pump-

ing rate is given by

$$w(v, t) = \frac{BI(t)}{c\gamma} g(v - v_L), \quad (4)$$

where  $B$  is the Einstein coefficient of induced absorption,  $\gamma$  is the half linewidth of the transition,  $g$  is a dimensionless Lorentzian function that represents the natural line shape of the transition in velocity space, and  $v_L$  is the velocity that an ion must have to Doppler shift the laser light into resonance with the transition. The line shape  $g$  is

$$g(v - v_L) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{(\gamma\lambda_L)^2}{(v - v_L)^2 + (\gamma\lambda_L)^2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda_L$  is the laser wavelength.

A sketch of the optical pumping process is shown in Fig. 3. The intersection of the LIF viewing volume and the laser resonance volume, which is where the LIF signal is produced, selects the ions with specific  $x$  and  $v$  in phase space. The shapes of these two volumes are characterized by a normalized window function  $\theta(x)$  and natural line shape function  $g(v - v_L)$ . The total optical pumping rate for a test particle at time  $t$  is calculated by averaging over the entire conditional probability function:

$$W(t; \mathbf{x}', t') = \int w(v, t) P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') d\mathbf{x}. \quad (6)$$

The integral in Eq. (6) is a convolution of a Lorentzian and a Gaussian function, i.e., a Voigt function, which




FIG. 3. The optical pumping process in phase space. The blue ellipses represent the conditional probability function  $P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t')$  at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , where darker colors represent lower probability for ions to be found in a given phase-space volume. The ion orbit  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  without velocity-space diffusion is shown with an orange arrow. The LIF viewing volume is marked in green and the laser resonance volume marked in red. These two volumes are characterized by a normalized window function  $\theta(x)$  and natural line shape function  $g(v - v_L)$ , respectively. The intersection of these two volumes, where the LIF signal is produced, is marked in yellow.

can be evaluated analytically through continued fraction expansion of the plasma dispersion function<sup>14,21</sup>.

The simulated evolution of the metastable ion distribution function along with the natural line shape function is shown in Fig. 4. The maximum optical pumping rate in Eq. 4 is denoted by  $w_m = BI_0/c\gamma\pi$ . It is clear that even with velocity-space diffusion, the velocity resolution of LIF can be rapidly dominated by optical pumping.

### C. Theoretical Model

The lifetime of the upper energy state (level 2 shown in Fig. 1) is typically short compared to the ion dynamics scales. Thus, the upper state distribution is simply proportional to the metastable state distribution:

$$n_2 = \frac{w + u}{A_T} n_1. \quad (7)$$

Inserting this into Eq. (1a) gives the time dependent probability of the test particle to remain in the metastable state. The solution for this time dependent probability is  $\Psi$  (for  $W \ll A_T$ ):

$$\Psi(t; \mathbf{x}', t') = \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{A_{23}}{A_T} \int_{t'}^t W(t''; \mathbf{x}', t') dt'' + \frac{A_{23}}{A_T} u(t - t') + r(t - t') \right] \right\}, \quad (8)$$




FIG. 4. Simulated evolution of the metastable ion distribution function  $f(v)$  during optical pumping. The top curve is the metastable ion distribution at thermal equilibrium without optical pumping. The bottom curve in red is the natural line shape function  $g(v - v_L)$  in velocity unit. The natural linewidth determines the velocity resolution in LIF measurements.

where the initial value  $\Psi(t'; \mathbf{x}', t')$  is unity. Therefore the total probability distribution function  $Q$  can be constructed by combining Eqs. (3) and (8):

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') = P(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') \Psi(t; \mathbf{x}', t'). \quad (9)$$

In this three-level system, every observable LIF photon comes from an optically pumped ion that transitions from level 2 to level 3. According to Eq. (1c), the contribution of a metastable ion at the initial phase-space point  $\mathbf{x}'$  at time  $t'$  to the LIF signal (in photons/s) in the viewing volume is

$$N(t; \mathbf{x}', t') = \frac{A_{23}}{A_T} \iint [w(v, t) + u] Q(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}', t') \theta(x) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, the total LIF signal can be calculated by summing over all the initial conditions, based on the initial metastable ion velocity distribution function  $f_0(v', t')$  (normalized to 1):

$$N(t) = S \iint \int_{-\infty}^t N(t; \mathbf{x}', t') f_0(v', t') d\mathbf{x}' dt'. \quad (11)$$

where  $S$  is the birth rate of the metastable ions per unit length.

## IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Based on the above LIF model, a numerical simulation is performed to study how laser intensity, particle collisions, and metastable quenching affect the LIF measurements. Metastable ions can be produced from both




FIG. 5. A time series of the LIF signal from the simulation. The time is normalized with the laser chopping frequency and the LIF amplitude is normalized with the metastable ion birth rate  $S$ . The optical pumping rate  $w_m/S = 21.2$  and the collisional excitation rate  $u/S = 0.17$ , which are much higher than the usual values.

neutral particles<sup>22</sup> and regular ions<sup>23</sup>. We have two versions of codes, but in this simulation, all metastable ions are assumed to be produced by single-step ionization of neutrals by electron impact.

All the results in the rest of the paper are obtained through analyzing the time series of the simulated LIF signal, which can be numerically calculated using Eq. (11). A sample of LIF signal is shown in Fig. 5. The laser intensity and collisional excitation rate are set high to demonstrate some of the important features of the LIF signal. The two sources of fluorescence are optical pumping and collisional excitation, of which the latter produces the background noise. When the laser pulse starts, because of the initial large amount of the metastable ions, the signal suddenly jumps to a high level, and then decays exponentially due to the depletion of these ions by optical pumping. When the laser pulse ends, the background level of fluorescence starts to recover gradually through the birth of new metastable ions.

### A. Ion Velocity Distribution Function

The IVDF can be obtained by scanning the laser wavelength. Ideally, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the IVDF for a Maxwellian plasma is given by<sup>9</sup>

$$\Delta_{\text{FWHM}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2 \ln 2 T_i}{m_i}}. \quad (12)$$

At large laser intensity, the optical pumping rate becomes comparable to the spontaneous decay rate, resulting in a noticeable decrease of the metastable population. This saturation causes a broadening in the measured IVDF<sup>24</sup>.




FIG. 6. Measured FWHM of the IVDF as a function of optical pumping rate  $w_m$  for various ion temperatures.  $x_i$  is the actual full width of the ion velocity distribution. All FWHM values are normalized with the natural linewidth in velocity unit. Optical pumping broadening starts to occur at  $w_m/S \sim 10^{-1}$ . At high optical pumping rate ( $w_m/S \sim 10^2$ ), the measured FWHM values are dominated by the broadened natural linewidth, regardless of the ion temperatures. The slope of the asymptote is  $1/2$ , suggesting that at this point the measured FWHM is proportional to  $\sqrt{w_m}$ .

A more careful examination shows that it is the natural linewidth that is directly broadened by the laser intensity. When the optical pumping rate is large enough, the broadened natural linewidth dominates over the Doppler width and determines the FWHM of the IVDF. In this case, the FWHM is given by

$$\Delta_{\text{FWHM}} \simeq 2\gamma\lambda_L \sqrt{\frac{w_m}{r+u}} \propto \sqrt{I_0}. \quad (13)$$

The measured FWHM of the IVDF as a function of optical pumping rate is shown in Fig. 6. If the optical pumping rate is increased above a certain level, saturation broadening occurs and Eq. (12) will not provide a correct ion temperature. However, the FWHM has little variation below that level.

This saturation effect can also be seen from another aspect. The LIF signal amplitude at  $v_L = 0$  as a function of optical pumping rate is shown in Fig. 7. The signal scales proportionally to the optical pumping rate when it is low. As this rate increases, the signal strength reaches an asymptotic limit, which is caused by the saturation in the metastable state.

The broadening of the IVDF can be avoided by reducing the laser intensity; however, this will also diminish the fluorescence signal. The balance of a strong signal and minimal broadening determines the optimum laser intensity.




FIG. 7. The LIF signal amplitude at  $v_L = 0$  as a function of optical pumping rate  $w_m$  for various ion temperatures. When  $w_m/S < 10^{-1}$ , the asymptote has a slope of 1, suggesting that the signal is proportional to the optical pumping rate. Above this level, the signal strength reaches a limit. The LIF signal is larger for ions with a lower temperature, because the distribution function of these ions is narrower but the linewidth always remains the same, resulting in more ions in the laser resonance volume around  $v_L = 0$ .

### B. Perturbation of IVDF with Electrostatic Waves

Electrostatic waves that propagate in a plasma can perturb the IVDF. The ion orbit  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)$  used in the simulation is

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\nu \mathbf{v} + \frac{Ee}{m_i} \sin(kx - \omega t), \quad (14)$$

where the first term on the right side represents drag on the ion, and  $E$  is the wave amplitude.

In a collisional plasma, the first-order perturbation of the IVDF  $f_1(v)$  is usually a complex function. It tends to be  $\pm\pi/2$  out of phase with the wave electric field when the collisions are weak. For simplicity, only the quadrature component of  $f_1(v)$  is collected in the simulation. Fig. 8 shows the simulated measured  $f_1(v)$  for various optical pumping rates, where all the curves are normalized by these rates for comparison. At low optical pumping rates, the shapes of  $f_1(v)$  almost remain the same. However, a broadening in  $f_1(v)$  occurs as the optical pumping rate increases. This is consistent with the optical pumping broadening in IVDF that is found earlier in Figs. 6 and 7.

Fig. 9 shows the simulated measured  $f_1(v)$  for various ion-ion collision rates along with the actual  $f_1(v)$  used in the simulation for comparison. At a low collision rate, the measurement agrees with the actual perturbation very well. As the collision rate increases, the systematic averaging effect makes the measurements more symmetric and behave like the case where  $k = 0$ .




FIG. 8. Simulated measured  $f_1(v)$  for various optical pumping rates. The  $f_1$  in the plot is already normalized with  $f_0(v)|_{v=0}$  measured at  $w_m/S = 8.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . In the simulation, the phase velocity  $v_p/v_t = 3.8$ . The curve for  $w_m/S = 85$  is magnified by 50 times to scale. At low optical pumping rates, the shapes of  $f_1$  almost remain the same. However, continuing to increase the optical pumping rate causes a broadening in  $f_1$ .




FIG. 9. Simulated measured  $f_1(v)$  for various ion-ion collision rates at  $w_m/S = 8.5 \times 10^{-4}$ . The collision rate is normalized with the wave frequency. The  $f_1$  in the plot is already normalized with  $f_0(v)|_{v=0}$  measured at  $\nu/f = 0.05$ . The actual  $f_1(v)$  used in the simulation is also shown for comparison.

### C. Lifetime of Metastable Ions

The lifetime of metastable ions is important to LIF measurements, as it can affect the measured ion temperature, wave amplitude, etc. Eq. 8 suggests that optical pumping, collisional excitation and quenching can all affect the lifetime of metastable ions in the plasma.

In many cases, ions may not always have the same tem-




FIG. 10. Measured FWHM of the IVDF as a function of the lifetime  $\tau$  of metastable ions.  $y_i$  and  $y_n$  are the actual full widths of the ion and neutral particle velocity distribution, respectively. All FWHM values in this plot are normalized with the actual full width of the ion velocity distribution.  $\nu$  is the ion-ion collision rate. It is clear that the LIF measured ion temperature is closer to the true ion temperature when the metastable ions have a longer lifetime.

perature as the neutral particles. Since LIF directly measures the temperature of the metastable ions which are mainly born from neutrals, the measurement may differ from the actual ion temperature, depending on how long the metastable ions can live to relax to their final temperature. Fig. 10 shows the FWHM of IVDF as a function of the lifetime of metastable ions. The LIF measurement of ion temperature is only accurate if the metastable ions have a long lifetime. As their lifetime decreases, the measurement tends to get closer to the neutral temperature.

When an electrostatic wave is present in a plasma, the average lifetime of metastable ions characterizes how long an ion typically experiences the wave field. If the average lifetime is much shorter than the wave period, the metastable ions will not live long enough to see at least one wave period, which will cause the wave amplitude to diminish in the LIF signal. Fig. 11 shows the ratio of  $f_1(v)$  to  $f_0(v)$  at  $v = v_t$  as a function of the lifetime of metastable ions when  $k = 0$ . When the lifetime is longer than a wave period,  $f_1/f_0$  almost remains a constant. However, this ratio drops rapidly when the lifetime becomes shorter.

#### D. Discussion

One important consequence of the metastable lifetime effect illustrated in Figs. 10 and 11 is that under circumstances where the metastable ion population is coming directly from the ionization of neutrals (as opposed to the excitation of ground-state ions), the velocity distri-




FIG. 11. The ratio of  $f_1(v)$  to  $f_0(v)$  at  $v = v_t$  for various lifetimes  $\tau$  of metastable ions when  $k = 0$ . The ratio  $f_1/f_0$  in this plot is normalized with the actual ratio used in the simulation.  $f$  is the frequency of the wave. When the lifetime is longer than a wave period,  $f_1/f_0$  almost remains a constant. However, this ratio drops rapidly when the lifetime is shorter than a wave period.

bution will only faithfully represent processes which act on the ion dynamics in a time shorter than the metastable lifetime. Under such circumstances, the perturbed distribution  $f_1(v, t)$  cannot be correct if the wave frequency is lower than  $1/\tau$  (inverse metastable lifetime). Similarly the ion-ion coulomb collision frequency must be higher than  $1/\tau$  in order for the temperature to be correct. Although the cross section for direct production of metastables from neutrals is significantly smaller than the excitation cross section that produces metastables from ground state ions (and generally requires higher energy electrons), the density of neutrals can be orders of magnitude higher than the ground state ion density. Evidence for this has been found in experiments [here we reference C Cooper, to be published].

The above simulation results suggest that LIF is a powerful tool in studying velocity distributions and plasma waves. We have shown some parameters, such as laser intensity, collision, and metastable states quenching, need to be considered when using LIF. Otherwise they can result in unreliable measurements or systematic errors.

#### V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we report a general Lagrangian model by introducing a nonlinear conditional probability function. The numerical simulations show that optical pumping broadening can happen to the ion distribution function  $f_0(v)$  and its perturbation  $f_1(v)$  when laser intensity is high. The results also show that the lifetime of metastable ions can affect the LIF diagnosis of ion tem-

perature and electrostatic waves. They suggest that the IVDF measurements are only accurate when the metastable ions can live longer than the collision mean free time  $\nu^{-1}$ . As for the wave detection, it is important to choose a wave period that is significantly shorter than the lifetime of metastable ions for a correct result.

This Lagrangian model includes a variety of physical processes that are important in LIF measurements, such as velocity-space diffusion, time dependent optical pumping, and metastable states quenching. It may have wider application than what we have just talked about before in the results section, since it does not impose constraints on the test particle orbits and can be extended to systems with complicated ion dynamics.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (F. Chu) wishes to thank S. Mattingly, W. D. S. Ruhunusiri, J. Berumen and S. Kunhammed for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-99ER54543.

<sup>1</sup>N. G. Van Kampen, *Physica* **21**, 949 (1955).

<sup>2</sup>K. M. Case, *Annals of Physics* **7**, 349 (1959).

<sup>3</sup>F. Skiff, H. Gunell, A. Bhattacharjee, C. S. Ng, and W. A. Noonan, *Phys. Plasmas 1994-Present* **9**, 1931 (2002).

<sup>4</sup>F. Skiff, S. De Souza-Machado, W. A. Noonan, A. Case, and T. N. Good, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5820 (1998).

<sup>5</sup>F. Anderegg, R. A. Stern, F. Skiff, B. A. Hammel, M. Q. Tran, P. J. Paris, and P. Kohler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 329 (1986).

<sup>6</sup>F. Skiff and F. Anderegg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 896 (1987).

<sup>7</sup>J. J. Curry, F. Skiff, M. Sarfaty, and T. N. Good, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 1767 (1995).

<sup>8</sup>E. M. Hollmann, F. Anderegg, and C. F. Driscoll, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4839 (1999).

<sup>9</sup>M. J. Goeckner and J. Goree, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **7**, 977 (1989).

<sup>10</sup>M. J. Goeckner, J. Goree, and T. E. Sheridan, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **64**, 996 (1993).

<sup>11</sup>G. D. Severn, D. A. Edrich, and R. McWilliams, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **69**, 10 (1998).

<sup>12</sup>F. Skiff and J. J. Curry, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **66**, 629 (1995).

<sup>13</sup>F. Skiff and J. Bollinger, *Phys. Plasmas 1994-Present* **11**, 2972 (2004).

<sup>14</sup>J. H. McCabe, *J. Plasma Phys.* **32**, 479 (1984).

<sup>15</sup>N. Claire, M. Dindelegan, G. Bachet, and F. Skiff, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **72**, 4372 (2001).

<sup>16</sup>S. W. Mattingly, J. Berumen, F. Chu, R. Hood, and F. Skiff, *J. Inst.* **8**, C11015 (2013).

<sup>17</sup>F. Skiff, T. N. Good, F. Anderegg, and P. J. Paris, *Physics Letters A* **137**, 57 (1989).

<sup>18</sup>J. Bowles, R. McWilliams, and N. Rynn, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **20**, 651 (1992).

<sup>19</sup>J. P. Dougherty, *Phys. Fluids 1958-1988* **7**, 1788 (1964).

<sup>20</sup>S. Chandrasekhar, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **15**, 1 (1943).

<sup>21</sup>B. H. Armstrong, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* **7**, 61 (1967).

<sup>22</sup>B. E. Cherrington, *Gaseous Electronics and Gas Lasers* (Pergamon Press, 1979).

<sup>23</sup>M. J. Goeckner, J. Goree, and T. E. Sheridan, *Phys. Fluids B Plasma Phys. 1989-1993* **3**, 2913 (1991).

<sup>24</sup>W. Demtröder, *Laser Spectroscopy: Basic Concepts and Instrumentation* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).